Knapsack problems in products of groups

Andrey Nikolaev (Stevens Institute of Technology)

CCNY October 24, 2014

Based on joint work with E.Frenkel and A.Ushakov

• • = • • = •

Basic idea:

Take a classic algorithmic problem from computer science (traveling salesman, Post correspondence, knapsack, ...) and translate it into group-theoretic setting.

Let A be an alphabet, $|A| \ge 2$.

The classic Post correspondence problem (PCP)

Given a finite set of pairs $(g_1, h_1), \ldots, (g_k, h_k)$ of elements of A^* determine if there is a non-empty word $w(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in X^*$ such that $w(g_1, \ldots, g_k) = w(h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ in A^* .

Matching dominoes: top = bottom

g _{i1}	g _{i2}	g _{i3}	 g _{in}
h_{i_1}	h_{i_2}	$h_{i_{3}}$	 h _{in}

Decidable if number of pairs is $k \leq 3$. Undecidable if $k \geq 7$. Unknown if $4 \leq k \leq 6$.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Matching dominoes: top = bottom

g_{i_1}	g _{i2}	g _{i3}	 g _{in}
h_{i_1}	h_{i_2}	$h_{i_{3}}$	 h _{in}

Decidable if number of pairs is $k \le 3$. Undecidable if $k \ge 7$. Unknown if $4 \le k \le 6$.

```
A^* \rightsquigarrow f.g. group G,
words g_i, h_i \rightsquigarrow group elements g_i, h_i given as words in generators,
word w \rightsquigarrow group word,
right?
```

The above is trivial: (a) $w = xx^{-1}$. Only allow non-trivial reduced words. (b) G abelian, w = [x, y]. Only allow words that are not identities of G.

(4月) (日) (日) 日

```
A^* \rightsquigarrow f.g. group G,
words g_i, h_i \rightsquigarrow group elements g_i, h_i given as words in generators,
word w \rightsquigarrow group word,
right?
```

The above is trivial: (a) $w = xx^{-1}$. Only allow non-trivial reduced words. (b) *G* abelian, w = [x, y]. Only allow words that are not identities of *G*.

(4月) (日) (日) 日

```
A^* \rightsquigarrow \text{ f.g. group } G,
words g_i, h_i \rightsquigarrow \text{ group elements } g_i, h_i given as words in generators,
word w \rightsquigarrow \text{ group word},
right?
```

The above is trivial: (a) $w = xx^{-1}$. Only allow non-trivial reduced words. (b) G abelian, w = [x, y]. Only allow words that are not identities of G.

(4月) (3日) (3日) 日

```
A^* \rightsquigarrow \text{ f.g. group } G,
words g_i, h_i \rightsquigarrow \text{ group elements } g_i, h_i given as words in generators,
word w \rightsquigarrow \text{ group word},
right?
```

The above is trivial: (a) $w = xx^{-1}$. Only allow non-trivial reduced words. (b) G abelian, w = [x, y]. Only allow words that are not identities of G.

double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem

(find $w \in G$ s.t. $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$),

- equalizer problem (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. $\varphi(g) = \psi(g)$),
- hereditary word problem
 (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

- double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find $w \in G$ s.t. $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$),
- equalizer problem (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. $\varphi(g) = \psi(g)$),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

- double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find $w \in G$ s.t. $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$),
- equalizer problem

(find the subgroup of elements g s.t. $\varphi(g) = \psi(g)$),

 hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

- double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find $w \in G$ s.t. $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$),
- equalizer problem
 (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. φ(g) = ψ(g)),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

- double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find $w \in G$ s.t. $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$),
- equalizer problem
 (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. φ(g) = ψ(g)),
- hereditary word problem

(word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

- double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find $w \in G$ s.t. $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$),
- equalizer problem
 (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. φ(g) = ψ(g)),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

The classic subset sum problem (SSP):

Given $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ decide if

 $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_k a_k = a$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

SSP for a group *G*:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g_1^{arepsilon_1}\dots g_k^{arepsilon_k}=g$$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Elements in G are given as words in a fixed set of generators of G_{1}

The classic subset sum problem (SSP):

Given $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ decide if

 $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_k a_k = a$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

SSP for a group *G*:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g_1^{arepsilon_1}\dots g_k^{arepsilon_k}=g$$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Elements in G are given as words in a fixed set of generators of G_{1}

Andrey Nikolaev (Stevens Institute of Technology)

Knapsack problems in products of groups

The classic subset sum problem (SSP):

Given $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ decide if

 $\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_k a_k = a$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

SSP for a group *G*:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\dots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}=g$$

for some $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$.

Elements in G are given as words in a fixed set of generators of G.

Classic **SSP** is pseudopolynomial

- If input is given in unary, **SSP** is in **P**,
- if input is given in binary, **SSP** is **NP**-complete.

The complexity of SSP(G) does not depend on a finite generating set, but may depend on a generating set if infinite ones are allowed.

For example:



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Classic **SSP** is pseudopolynomial

- If input is given in unary, **SSP** is in **P**,
- if input is given in binary, **SSP** is **NP**-complete.

The complexity of SSP(G) does not depend on a finite generating set, but may depend on a generating set if infinite ones are allowed.

For example:



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Classic **SSP** is pseudopolynomial

- If input is given in unary, **SSP** is in **P**,
- if input is given in binary, **SSP** is **NP**-complete.

The complexity of SSP(G) does not depend on a finite generating set, but may depend on a generating set if infinite ones are allowed.

For example:



Complexity of SSP(G):

Group	Complexity	Why
Nilpotent	Р	Poly growth
$\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}, \; ZOE$
Free metabelian	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
Thompson's F	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$
BS(1, p)	NP-complete	Binary $SSP(\mathbb{Z})$
Hyperbolic	Р	Log depth

Note that the **NP**-completeness is despite unary input.

э

Complexity of SSP(G):

Complexity	Why
Р	Poly growth
NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}, \ ZOE$
NP -complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
NP -complete	Binary $SSP(\mathbb{Z})$
Р	Log depth
	Complexity P NP-complete NP-complete NP-complete P

Note that the NP-completeness is despite unary input.

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Three principle Knapsack type (decision) problems in groups: **SSP** subset sum.

- KP knapsack,
- SMP submonoid membership.

The knapsack problem in groups

The classic knapsack problem (**KP**):

Given $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ decide if

 $n_1a_1+\ldots+n_ka_k=a$

for some non-negative integers n_1, \ldots, n_k .

The knapsack problem (**KP**) for G:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

 $g_1^{n_1}\ldots g_k^{n_k}=g$

for some non-negative integers n_1, \ldots, n_k .

There are minor variations of this problem, for instance, integer **KP**, when n_i are arbitrary integers. They are all similar, we omit them here.

The knapsack problem in groups

The classic knapsack problem (**KP**):

Given $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ decide if

 $n_1a_1+\ldots+n_ka_k=a$

for some non-negative integers n_1, \ldots, n_k .

The knapsack problem (KP) for G:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g_1^{n_1}\ldots g_k^{n_k}=g$$

for some non-negative integers n_1, \ldots, n_k .

There are minor variations of this problem, for instance, integer **KP**, when n_i are arbitrary integers. They are all similar, we omit them here.

The knapsack problem in groups

The classic knapsack problem (**KP**):

Given $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ decide if

 $n_1a_1+\ldots+n_ka_k=a$

for some non-negative integers n_1, \ldots, n_k .

The knapsack problem (KP) for G:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g_1^{n_1}\ldots g_k^{n_k}=g$$

for some non-negative integers n_1, \ldots, n_k .

There are minor variations of this problem, for instance, integer **KP**, when n_i are arbitrary integers. They are all similar, we omit them here.

The knapsack problem in groups is closely related to the big powers method, which appeared long before any complexity considerations.

Submonoid membership problem (SMP):

Given a finite set $A = \{g_1, \ldots, g_k, g\}$ of elements of G decide if g belongs to the submonoid generated by A, i.e., if $g = g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_s}$ for some $g_{i_i} \in A$.

If the set A is closed under inversion then we have the subgroup membership problem in G.

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

It makes sense to consider the bounded versions of \mathbf{KP} and \mathbf{SMP} , they are always decidable in groups with decidable word problem.

The bounded knapsack problem (BKP) for G:

decide, when given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ and $1^m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $g =_G g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \ldots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}$ for some $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$.

BKP is **P**-time equivalent to **SSP** in *G*.

同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

It makes sense to consider the bounded versions of **KP** and **SMP**, they are always decidable in groups with decidable word problem.

The bounded knapsack problem (BKP) for G:

decide, when given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ and $1^m \in \mathbb{N}$, if $g =_G g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \ldots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}$ for some $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$.

BKP is **P**-time equivalent to **SSP** in *G*.

伺い イヨト イヨト

Bounded submonoid membership problem (BSMP) for G:

Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ and $1^m \in \mathbb{N}$ (in unary) decide if g is equal in G to a product of the form $g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_s}$, where $g_{i_1}, \ldots, g_{i_s} \in \{g_1, \ldots, g_k\}$ and $s \leq m$.

▲□ → ▲ 注 → ▲ 注 → … 注

Known results [MNU]

SSP and BKP:

- **NP**-complete in $\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathbb{Z}$, free metabelian, Thompson's *F*, $BS(m, n), m \neq \pm n$.
- **P**-time in f.g. v. nilpotent groups, hyperbolic groups, $BS(n, \pm n)$.

BSMP:

NP-complete in F₂ × F₂ (therefore NP-hard in any group that contains F₂ × F₂, e.g. B_{≥5}, GL(≥ 4, Z), partially commutative with induced ⊠.)

• P-time in f.g. v. nilpotent groups, hyperbolic groups.

KP:

• P-time in abelian groups, hyperbolic groups.

What about group-theoretic constructions?

Q1 Does **SSP** carry from G, H to G * H?

- A1 That's not the right question.
- **Q2** Does **SSP** in $G \times H$ behave like the word problem or like the membership problem?

A2 Both!

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

What about group-theoretic constructions?

- **Q1** Does **SSP** carry from G, H to G * H?
- A1 That's not the right question.
- **Q2** Does **SSP** in $G \times H$ behave like the word problem or like the membership problem?

A2 Both!

What about group-theoretic constructions?

- **Q1** Does **SSP** carry from G, H to G * H?
- A1 That's not the right question.
- **Q2** Does **SSP** in $G \times H$ behave like the word problem or like the membership problem?

A2 Both!

同下 イヨト イヨト
What about group-theoretic constructions?

- **Q1** Does **SSP** carry from G, H to G * H?
- A1 That's not the right question.
- **Q2** Does **SSP** in $G \times H$ behave like the word problem or like the membership problem?

A2 Both!

直 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

What about group-theoretic constructions?

- **Q1** Does **SSP** carry from G, H to G * H?
- A1 That's not the right question.
- **Q2** Does **SSP** in $G \times H$ behave like the word problem or like the membership problem?

A2 Both!

直 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

What an instance of SSP(G) looks like?



直 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

What an instance of SSP(G) looks like?



同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

э





Try to solve it using SSP(G) and SSP(H).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト





Try to solve it using SSP(G) and SSP(H).

同下 イヨト イヨト











Solve all occurring instances of SSP(G):



同 ト イヨ ト イヨ ト

Bring back *H* part:



This is not **SSP** anymore! $(3 \neq 2^m$ choices of paths.)

Andrey Nikolaev (Stevens Institute of Technology) Knapsack problems in products of groups

- (同) - (三) - (三)

Bring back *H* part:



This is not **SSP** anymore! $(3 \neq 2^m$ choices of paths.)

Andrey Nikolaev (Stevens Institute of Technology) Knapsack problems in products of groups

Bring back *H* part:



This is not **SSP** anymore! $(3 \neq 2^m \text{ choices of paths.})$

In this context, it is natural to consider so-called Acyclic Graph Problem:

The acyclic graph problem AGP(G, X)

Given an acyclic directed graph Γ labeled by letters in $X \cup X^{-1} \cup \{\varepsilon\}$ with two marked vertices, α and ω , decide whether there is an oriented path in Γ from α to ω labeled by a word w such that w = 1 in G.

- 4 同 2 4 回 2 4 U

AGP(G)

AGP(G) generalizes SSP(G) (i.e. SSP(G) is P-time reducible to AGP(G)):



AGP(G) generalizes BSMP(G):



э

AGP(G)

AGP(G) generalizes SSP(G) (i.e. SSP(G) is P-time reducible to AGP(G)):



AGP(G) generalizes **BSMP**(G):





・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Does AGP(G) reduce to SSP(G)?

We don't know. But in all G with P-time SSP(G) that we know, AGP(G) is also P-time, by essentially the same arguments:

- AGP(virtually f.g. nilpotent) \in P by polynomial growth,
- AGP(hyperbolic) ∈ P by logarithmic depth of Van Kampen diagrams.

Does AGP(G) reduce to SSP(G)?

We don't know. But in all G with P-time SSP(G) that we know, AGP(G) is also P-time, by essentially the same arguments:

- AGP(virtually f.g. nilpotent) \in P by polynomial growth,
- AGP(hyperbolic) ∈ P by logarithmic depth of Van Kampen diagrams.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Does **AGP**(G) reduce to **SSP**(G)?

We don't know. But in all G with P-time SSP(G) that we know, AGP(G) is also P-time, by essentially the same arguments:

- AGP(virtually f.g. nilpotent) ∈ P by polynomial growth,
- AGP(hyperbolic) ∈ P by logarithmic depth of Van Kampen diagrams.

イロン 不同 とくほう イロン

Does **AGP**(G) reduce to **SSP**(G)?

We don't know. But in all G with **P**-time SSP(G) that we know, AGP(G) is also **P**-time, by essentially the same arguments:

- $AGP(virtually f.g. nilpotent) \in P$ by polynomial growth,
- AGP(hyperbolic) ∈ P by logarithmic depth of Van Kampen diagrams.

イロン 不同 とくほう イロン

Does **AGP**(G) reduce to **SSP**(G)?

We don't know. But in all G with **P**-time SSP(G) that we know, AGP(G) is also **P**-time, by essentially the same arguments:

- $AGP(virtually f.g. nilpotent) \in P$ by polynomial growth,
- AGP(hyperbolic) ∈ P by logarithmic depth of Van Kampen diagrams.

AGP plays nicely with free products:

Theorem

Let G, H be finitely generated groups. Then AGP(G * H) is **P**-time Cook reducible to AGP(G), AGP(H).

Proof: same as what we tried to do with **SSP**, only this time it works.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

AGP plays nicely with free products:

Theorem

Let G, H be finitely generated groups. Then AGP(G * H) is **P**-time Cook reducible to AGP(G), AGP(H).

Proof: same as what we tried to do with **SSP**, only this time it works.

Corollary

If G, H are finitely generated groups such that AGP(G), $AGP(H) \in P$ then $AGP(G * H) \in P$.

Corollary

SSP, **BKP**, **BSMP**, **AGP** are polynomial time decidable in free products of finitely generated virtually nilpotent and hyperbolic groups in any finite number.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Corollary

If G, H are finitely generated groups such that AGP(G), $AGP(H) \in P$ then $AGP(G * H) \in P$.

Corollary

SSP, **BKP**, **BSMP**, **AGP** are polynomial time decidable in free products of finitely generated virtually nilpotent and hyperbolic groups in any finite number.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Difficulty: put a bound on exponents n_i in

$$g_1^{n_1}\ldots g_k^{n_k}=g.$$

We can do it in

- abelian groups (by linear algebra),
- hyperbolic groups (thin *n*-gons).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Difficulty: put a bound on exponents n_i in

$$g_1^{n_1}\ldots g_k^{n_k}=g.$$

We can do it in

- abelian groups (by linear algebra),
- hyperbolic groups (thin *n*-gons).

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Difficulty: put a bound on exponents n_i in

$$g_1^{n_1}\ldots g_k^{n_k}=g.$$

We can do it in

- abelian groups (by linear algebra),
- hyperbolic groups (thin *n*-gons).

同下 イヨト イヨト

Difficulty: put a bound on exponents n_i in

$$g_1^{n_1}\cdots g_k^{n_k}=g.$$

We can do it in

- abelian groups (by linear algebra),
- hyperbolic groups (thin *n*-gons).

高 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

In hyperbolic groups:



.⊒ . ►

Similar argument works in free products, which gives

Theorem

If G, H are groups such that $KP(G), KP(H) \in P$, then KP(G * H) is P-time reducible to BKP(G * H).

Corollary

If G, H are groups such that AGP(G), $AGP(H) \in P$ and KP(G), $KP(H) \in P$ then $KP(G * H) \in P$.

Corollary

KP is polynomial time decidable in free products of finitely generated abelian and hyperbolic groups in any finite number.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Similar argument works in free products, which gives

Theorem

If G, H are groups such that $KP(G), KP(H) \in P$, then KP(G * H) is **P**-time reducible to BKP(G * H).

Corollary

If G, H are groups such that AGP(G), $AGP(H) \in P$ and KP(G), $KP(H) \in P$ then $KP(G * H) \in P$.

Corollary

KP is polynomial time decidable in free products of finitely generated abelian and hyperbolic groups in any finite number.

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

Similar argument works in free products, which gives

Theorem

If G, H are groups such that $KP(G), KP(H) \in P$, then KP(G * H) is P-time reducible to BKP(G * H).

Corollary

If G, H are groups such that AGP(G), $AGP(H) \in P$ and KP(G), $KP(H) \in P$ then $KP(G * H) \in P$.

Corollary

KP is polynomial time decidable in free products of finitely generated abelian and hyperbolic groups in any finite number.

AGP $(F_2 \times F_2)$ is **NP**-complete since **BSMP** $(F_2 \times F_2)$ is, by a variation of Mikhailova construction.

By itself, this does not mean $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ is NP-complete because we don't know whether AGP(G) reduces to SSP(G).

Question

Is **SSP**($F_2 \times F_2$) **NP**-complete?

Answer: we don't know... but we know about **SSP** $(F_2 \times F_2 \times \mathbb{Z})!$

AGP($F_2 \times F_2$) is **NP**-complete since **BSMP**($F_2 \times F_2$) is, by a variation of Mikhailova construction.

By itself, this does not mean $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ is NP-complete because we don't know whether AGP(G) reduces to SSP(G).

Question

Is **SSP**($F_2 \times F_2$) **NP**-complete?

Answer: we don't know... but we know about **SSP** $(F_2 \times F_2 \times \mathbb{Z})!$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

AGP($F_2 \times F_2$) is **NP**-complete since **BSMP**($F_2 \times F_2$) is, by a variation of Mikhailova construction.

By itself, this does not mean $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ is NP-complete because we don't know whether AGP(G) reduces to SSP(G).

Question Is $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ NP-complete?

Answer: we don't know... but we know about **SSP** $(F_2 \times F_2 \times \mathbb{Z})!$

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

AGP($F_2 \times F_2$) is **NP**-complete since **BSMP**($F_2 \times F_2$) is, by a variation of Mikhailova construction.

By itself, this does not mean $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ is NP-complete because we don't know whether AGP(G) reduces to SSP(G).

QuestionIs $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ NP-complete?

Answer: we don't know... but we know about $SSP(F_2 \times F_2 \times \mathbb{Z})!$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

AGP($F_2 \times F_2$) is **NP**-complete since **BSMP**($F_2 \times F_2$) is, by a variation of Mikhailova construction.

By itself, this does not mean $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ is NP-complete because we don't know whether AGP(G) reduces to SSP(G).

QuestionIs $SSP(F_2 \times F_2)$ NP-complete?

Answer: we don't know... but we know about **SSP**($F_2 \times F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$)!

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶
$\mathsf{BSMP}(G)$ vs $\mathsf{SSP}(G \times \mathbb{Z})$

BSMP(*G*) reduces to **SSP**($G \times \mathbb{Z}$):





3

Andrey Nikolaev (Stevens Institute of Technology) Knapsack problems in products of groups

$\mathsf{BSMP}(G)$ vs $\mathsf{SSP}(G \times \mathbb{Z})$

BSMP(*G*) reduces to **SSP**($G \times \mathbb{Z}$):





イロン 不同 とくほう イロン

3

Observation: **AGP**(G) and **AGP**($G \times \mathbb{Z}$) are **P**-time equivalent.

Corollary

There are groups G, H such that $SSP(G), SSP(H) \in \mathbf{P}$, but $SSP(G \times H)$ is NP-complete.

Proof: $G = F_2$, $H = F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Observation: **AGP**(*G*) and **AGP**($G \times \mathbb{Z}$) are **P**-time equivalent.

Corollary

There are groups G, H such that $SSP(G), SSP(H) \in \mathbf{P}$, but $SSP(G \times H)$ is NP-complete.

Proof: $G = F_2$, $H = F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Observation: **AGP**(*G*) and **AGP**($G \times \mathbb{Z}$) are **P**-time equivalent.

Corollary

There are groups G, H such that $SSP(G), SSP(H) \in \mathbf{P}$, but $SSP(G \times H)$ is NP-complete.

Proof: $G = F_2$, $H = F_2 \times \mathbb{Z}$.

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about SSP(G *_A H), SSP(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about SSP(G *_A H), SSP(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about SSP(G *_A H), SSP(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

(人間) ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about SSP(G *_A H), SSP(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about SSP(G *_A H), SSP(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about SSP(G *_A H), SSP(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

・ 戸 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about **SSP**(*G* *_{*A*} *H*), **SSP**(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

イロト 不得 とう アイロト

- Are there groups with decidable WP but undecidable KP?
- Is KP(f.g. nilpotent) decidable? If yes, is it in P?
- Is SSP(lamplighter) in P?
- Is SSP(polycyclic) in P?
- Is decidability of **KP** a geometric property? (Finite extensions and f.i. subgroups are fine.)
- What about **SSP**(*G* *_{*A*} *H*), **SSP**(HNN)? (Finite amalgamated subgroups are fine.)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <