#### Subset sum problem in polycyclic groups

Andrey Nikolaev (Stevens Institute of Technology)

GAGTA-10, June 14, 2016

Based on joint work with A.Ushakov

Non-commutative discrete optimization

Basic idea:

Take a classic algorithmic problem from computer science (traveling salesman, Post correspondence, knapsack, ...) and translate it into group-theoretic setting.

Let A be an alphabet,  $|A| \ge 2$ .

#### The classic Post correspondence problem (PCP)

Given a finite set of pairs  $(g_1, h_1), \ldots, (g_k, h_k)$  of elements of  $A^*$  determine if there is a non-empty word  $w(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in X^*$  such that  $w(g_1, \ldots, g_k) = w(h_1, \ldots, h_k)$  in  $A^*$ .

#### Matching dominoes: top = bottom

g <sub>i1</sub>	g <sub>i2</sub>	g <sub>i3</sub>	 <i>g</i> i <sub>n</sub>
$h_{i_1}$	<i>h</i> <sub><i>i</i><sub>2</sub></sub>	<i>h</i> <sub><i>i</i><sub>3</sub></sub>	 h <sub>in</sub>

Decidable if number of pairs is  $k \le 2$ . Undecidable if  $k \ge 5$  (T.Neary 2015). Unknown if  $3 \le k \le 4$ .

Matching dominoes: top = bottom

g <sub>i1</sub>	<b>g</b> <sub>i2</sub>	<b>g</b> <sub>i3</sub>	 g <sub>in</sub>
$h_{i_1}$	<i>h</i> <sub><i>i</i><sub>2</sub></sub>	<i>h</i> <sub><i>i</i><sub>3</sub></sub>	 h <sub>in</sub>

Decidable if number of pairs is  $k \le 2$ . Undecidable if  $k \ge 5$  (T.Neary 2015). Unknown if  $3 \le k \le 4$ .

#### Variations of **PCP** in groups turn out to be closely related to:

double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem

(find  $w \in G$  s.t.  $uw^{\varphi} = w^{\psi}v$ ),

- equalizer problem (find the subgroup of elements g s.t.  $\varphi(g) = \psi(g)$ ),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

Variations of **PCP** in groups turn out to be closely related to:

- b double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find w ∈ G s.t. uw<sup>φ</sup> = w<sup>ψ</sup>v),
- equalizer problem (find the subgroup of elements g s.t.  $\varphi(g) = \psi(g)$ ),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

Variations of **PCP** in groups turn out to be closely related to:

- b double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find w ∈ G s.t. uw<sup>φ</sup> = w<sup>ψ</sup>v),
- equalizer problem

(find the subgroup of elements g s.t.  $\varphi(g) = \psi(g)$ ),

 hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

Variations of **PCP** in groups turn out to be closely related to:

- b double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find w ∈ G s.t. uw<sup>φ</sup> = w<sup>ψ</sup>v),
- equalizer problem
   (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. φ(g) = ψ(g)),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

Variations of **PCP** in groups turn out to be closely related to:

- b double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find w ∈ G s.t. uw<sup>φ</sup> = w<sup>ψ</sup>v),
- equalizer problem
   (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. φ(g) = ψ(g)),
- hereditary word problem

(word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

Variations of **PCP** in groups turn out to be closely related to:

- b double-endo-twisted conjugacy problem (find w ∈ G s.t. uw<sup>φ</sup> = w<sup>ψ</sup>v),
- equalizer problem
   (find the subgroup of elements g s.t. φ(g) = ψ(g)),
- hereditary word problem (word problem in any quotient of G by a subgroup f.g. as a normal subgroup).

## Bounded submonoid membership problem BSMP(G):

Given  $g_1,\ldots,g_n,g\in G$  and  $N\in\mathbb{N}$ , decide if g can be expressed as

$$g=g_{i_1}\cdots g_{i_M}, \quad M\leq N.$$

There are hyperbolic groups where the membership problem is undecidable, but BSMP(G) is **P**-time for every hyperbolic *G*.

#### Bounded submonoid membership problem BSMP(G):

Given  $g_1,\ldots,g_n,g\in G$  and  $N\in\mathbb{N}$ , decide if g can be expressed as

$$g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_M}, \quad M \leq N.$$

There are hyperbolic groups where the membership problem is undecidable, but BSMP(G) is P-time for every hyperbolic G.

#### Bounded submonoid membership problem BSMP(G):

Given  $g_1,\ldots,g_n,g\in G$  and  $N\in\mathbb{N}$ , decide if g can be expressed as

$$g = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_M}, \quad M \leq N.$$

There are hyperbolic groups where the membership problem is undecidable, but BSMP(G) is P-time for every hyperbolic G.

#### Subset sum problem

The classic subset sum problem (**SSP**): Given  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  decide if

$$\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_k a_k = a$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**SSP** for a group *G*: Given  $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$  decide if

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\dots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}=g$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Elements in G are given as words in a fixed set of generators of G.

#### Subset sum problem

The classic subset sum problem (**SSP**): Given  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  decide if

$$\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_k a_k = a$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

**SSP** for a group G: Given  $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$  decide if

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\dots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}=g$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Elements in G are given as words in a fixed set of generators of G.

#### Subset sum problem

The classic subset sum problem (**SSP**): Given  $a_1, \ldots, a_k, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  decide if

$$\varepsilon_1 a_1 + \ldots + \varepsilon_k a_k = a$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

#### **SSP** for a group *G*: Given $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g \in G$ decide if

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\dots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}=g$$

for some  $\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_k \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Elements in G are given as words in a fixed set of generators of G.

### Algorithmic set-up

#### Classic **SSP** is pseudopolynomial

- If input is given in unary, SSP is in P,
- if input is given in binary, **SSP** is **NP**-complete.

The complexity of SSP(G) does not depend on a finite generating set, but may depend on a generating set if infinite ones are allowed.

For example:

 $\mathsf{SSP}(\mathbb{Z})$ 

- ▶  $SSP(\mathbb{Z}) \in P$  if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\{1\}$ ,
- ▶ **SSP**( $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is **NP**-complete if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

### Algorithmic set-up

#### Classic **SSP** is pseudopolynomial

- If input is given in unary, SSP is in P,
- if input is given in binary, **SSP** is **NP**-complete.

The complexity of SSP(G) does not depend on a finite generating set, but may depend on a generating set if infinite ones are allowed.

For example:

 $\mathsf{SSP}(\mathbb{Z})$ 

- ▶  $SSP(\mathbb{Z}) \in P$  if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\{1\}$ ,
- ▶ **SSP**( $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is **NP**-complete if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

### Algorithmic set-up

#### Classic **SSP** is pseudopolynomial

- If input is given in unary, SSP is in P,
- if input is given in binary, **SSP** is **NP**-complete.

The complexity of SSP(G) does not depend on a finite generating set, but may depend on a generating set if infinite ones are allowed.

For example:

 $\mathsf{SSP}(\mathbb{Z})$ 

- $SSP(\mathbb{Z}) \in P$  if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\{1\}$ ,
- ▶ **SSP**( $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is **NP**-complete if  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by  $\{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ .

Complexity of SSP(G) (A.Myasnikov, A.N., A.Ushakov. Knapsack problems in groups):

Group	Complexity	Why
Virt. nilpotent	Р	Poly growth
$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}, \ \mathbf{ZOE}$
Free metabelian	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
Thompson's F	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
BS(1, p)	NP-complete	Binary $SSP(\mathbb{Z})$
Hyperbolic	Р	Log depth

Note that the **NP**-completeness is despite unary input.

Complexity of SSP(G) (A.Myasnikov, A.N., A.Ushakov. Knapsack problems in groups):

Group	Complexity	Why
Virt. nilpotent	Р	Poly growth
$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}^{\omega}, \ ZOE$
Free metabelian	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
Thompson's F	NP-complete	$\mathbb{Z}\wr\mathbb{Z}$
BS(1,p)	NP-complete	Binary $SSP(\mathbb{Z})$
Hyperbolic	Р	Log depth

Note that the **NP**-completeness is despite unary input.

# Polynomial time solution to **SSP** in virtually nilpotent groups. Given input $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g$ , build lists of elements that can be represented as

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}},$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}},$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}},$$

$$\ldots,$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}}\cdots g_{k}^{\varepsilon_{k}}.$$

# Polynomial time solution to **SSP** in virtually nilpotent groups. Given input $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g$ , build lists of elements that can be represented as



# Polynomial time solution to **SSP** in virtually nilpotent groups. Given input $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g$ , build lists of elements that can be represented as

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}, \\g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}, \\g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}}, \\\dots, \\g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}}\cdots g_{k}^{\varepsilon_{k}}$$

# Polynomial time solution to **SSP** in virtually nilpotent groups. Given input $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g$ , build lists of elements that can be represented as

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}},$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}},$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}},$$

$$\ldots,$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}}\cdots g_{k}^{\varepsilon_{k}}.$$

# Polynomial time solution to **SSP** in virtually nilpotent groups. Given input $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g$ , build lists of elements that can be represented as

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}, \\g_1^{\varepsilon_1}g_2^{\varepsilon_2}, \\g_1^{\varepsilon_1}g_2^{\varepsilon_2}g_3^{\varepsilon_3}, \\\dots, \\g_1^{\varepsilon_1}g_2^{\varepsilon_2}g_3^{\varepsilon_3}\cdots g_k^{\varepsilon_k}.$$

# Polynomial time solution to **SSP** in virtually nilpotent groups. Given input $g_1, \ldots, g_k, g$ , build lists of elements that can be represented as

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}},$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}},$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}},$$

$$\ldots,$$

$$g_{1}^{\varepsilon_{1}}g_{2}^{\varepsilon_{2}}g_{3}^{\varepsilon_{3}}\cdots g_{k}^{\varepsilon_{k}}.$$

#### **NP**-completeness of **SSP** in BS(1,2).

 $BS(1,2) = \langle a,t \mid a^t = a^2 \rangle.$ 

The subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  is exponentially distorted,



Encode classic binary SSP by elements of the form

$$g_i = a^{t^{n_1}} a^{t^{n_2}} \cdots a^{t^{n_m}}.$$

**NP**-completeness of **SSP** in BS(1,2).

 $BS(1,2) = \langle a,t \mid a^t = a^2 \rangle.$ 

The subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$  is exponentially distorted,



Encode classic binary SSP by elements of the form

$$g_i = a^{t^{n_1}} a^{t^{n_2}} \cdots a^{t^{n_m}}$$

A.Treyer, A.Mishchenko. **SSP** in lamplighter groups is **NP**-complete.

#### What about other polycyclic groups?

Idea: a polycyclic group is either virtually nilpotent or has exponential distortion.

#### Theorem (A.N., A.Ushakov)

Let G be a polyclic group. Then SSP(G) is NP-complete if and only if G is not virtually nilpotent.

What about other polycyclic groups?

Idea: a polycyclic group is either virtually nilpotent or has exponential distortion.

Theorem (A.N., A.Ushakov)

Let G be a polyclic group. Then SSP(G) is NP-complete if and only if G is not virtually nilpotent.

What about other polycyclic groups?

Idea: a polycyclic group is either virtually nilpotent or has exponential distortion.

Theorem (A.N., A.Ushakov)

Let G be a polyclic group. Then SSP(G) is NP-complete if and only if G is not virtually nilpotent.

What about other polycyclic groups?

Idea: a polycyclic group is either virtually nilpotent or has exponential distortion.

Theorem (A.N., A.Ushakov)

Let G be a polyclic group. Then SSP(G) is NP-complete if and only if G is not virtually nilpotent.

#### Proof of main result. Case $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$

Consider 
$$G = \mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n = \langle h \rangle \ltimes \langle e_1, \ldots, e_n \rangle$$
.

Let *h* act by conjugation via matrix  $M \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Observation: G is not virtually nilpotent if and only if M has an eigenvalue  $|\alpha| > 1$ .

For any constant  $\lambda > 0$ , there is a power  $\hat{h}$  of h and group elements  $v_1, \ldots, v_k, \ldots \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,

$$v_k = \hat{h}^{-k} e_{i_k} \hat{h}^k,$$

such that  $\|\mathbf{v}_{k+1}\| > \lambda \|\mathbf{v}_k\|$ .

#### Proof of main result. Reduction of **ZOE**

Zero-one equation problem (exact set cover problem).

Given an  $N \times N$  matrix A of 0 and 1, decide if there is a column X of 0 and 1 s.t.  $AX = 1^N$ , where  $1^N = (1, ..., 1)$  is a column of 1s.

Reduce **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle g \rangle \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ ) as follows.

Given A and b, pick sufficiently large  $\lambda$  and encode each coordinate  $1 \le k \le N$  by  $v_k$ . For example,

column 010011  $\leftrightarrow v_2 v_5 v_6$ .

Zero-one equation problem (exact set cover problem).

Given an  $N \times N$  matrix A of 0 and 1, decide if there is a column X of 0 and 1 s.t.  $AX = 1^N$ , where  $1^N = (1, ..., 1)$  is a column of 1s.

Reduce **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle g \rangle \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ ) as follows.

Given A and b, pick sufficiently large  $\lambda$  and encode each coordinate  $1 \le k \le N$  by  $v_k$ . For example,

column 010011  $\leftrightarrow v_2 v_5 v_6$ .

#### Proof of main result. Reduction of **ZOE**

More precisely, for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{N1} & \dots & a_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

consider the instance  $(g_1, \ldots, g_N, g)$  of **SSP**(G), where:

$$g_i = v_1^{a_{1i}} \dots v_N^{a_{Ni}}$$
 and  $g = v_1 \dots v_N$ .

Since  $||v_{k+1}|| > \lambda ||v_k||$ , this instance of **SSP** is equivalent to the given instance of **ZOE**.

#### Proof of main result. Reduction of **ZOE**

More precisely, for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1N} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{N1} & \dots & a_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$$

consider the instance  $(g_1, \ldots, g_N, g)$  of **SSP**(G), where:

$$g_i = v_1^{a_{1i}} \dots v_N^{a_{Ni}}$$
 and  $g = v_1 \dots v_N$ .

Since  $||v_{k+1}|| > \lambda ||v_k||$ , this instance of **SSP** is equivalent to the given instance of **ZOE**.

# Let G by polycyclic and F its Fitting (maximal nilpotent) subgroup. Suffices to assume $G = \langle h \rangle \ltimes F$ .

Terms of lower central series for F are fully invariant, thus normal in G, so h acts by conjugation on abelian quotients  $F_j/F_{j+1}$ , say with matrix  $M_j$ .

**Fact.** G is not virtually nilpotent if and only if one of  $M_j$  has eigenvalue  $|\alpha| > 1$ .

Let G by polycyclic and F its Fitting (maximal nilpotent) subgroup. Suffices to assume  $G = \langle h \rangle \ltimes F$ .

Terms of lower central series for F are fully invariant, thus normal in G, so h acts by conjugation on abelian quotients  $F_j/F_{j+1}$ , say with matrix  $M_j$ .

**Fact.** G is not virtually nilpotent if and only if one of  $M_j$  has eigenvalue  $|\alpha| > 1$ .

Let G by polycyclic and F its Fitting (maximal nilpotent) subgroup. Suffices to assume  $G = \langle h \rangle \ltimes F$ .

Terms of lower central series for F are fully invariant, thus normal in G, so h acts by conjugation on abelian quotients  $F_j/F_{j+1}$ , say with matrix  $M_j$ .

**Fact.** G is not virtually nilpotent if and only if one of  $M_j$  has eigenvalue  $|\alpha| > 1$ .

Have: reduction of **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle h \rangle \ltimes F_j/F_{j+1}$ ). Want: reduction of **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle h \rangle \ltimes F_j$ ).

In terms of a specific instance: Have:

$$g_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}}\cdots g_{i_N}^{\varepsilon_{i_N}}=g.$$

Want:

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots g_N^{\varepsilon_N}=g.$$

Have: reduction of **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle h \rangle \ltimes F_j/F_{j+1}$ ). Want: reduction of **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle h \rangle \ltimes F_j$ ).

In terms of a specific instance:

Have:

$$g_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}}\cdots g_{i_N}^{\varepsilon_{i_N}}=g.$$

Want:

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots g_N^{\varepsilon_N}=g.$$

Have: reduction of **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle h \rangle \ltimes F_j/F_{j+1}$ ). Want: reduction of **ZOE** to **SSP**( $\langle h \rangle \ltimes F_j$ ).

In terms of a specific instance: Have:

$$g_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}}\cdots g_{i_N}^{\varepsilon_{i_N}}=g.$$

Want:

$$g_1^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots g_N^{\varepsilon_N}=g.$$

Luckily,  $g_i$  are in *nilpotent* F, so we can rearrange

$$g = g_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_{i_1}} \cdots g_{i_N}^{\varepsilon_{i_N}} = g_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots g_N^{\varepsilon_N} \cdot [g_1, g_2]^{n_1} \cdots [g_{N-1}, g_N, \dots, g_N]^{n_\ell}$$

at the cost of adding iterated commutators. By properties of nilpotent groups,  $\ell$  and all  $n_i$  are only polynomially large.

# Ultimately, for a given instance of **ZOE**, an equivalent instance of SSP(G) is

$$g_1,\ldots,g_N,\underbrace{f_1,\ldots,f_1}_{P(N)},\underbrace{f_1^{-1},\ldots,f_1^{-1}}_{P(N)},\ldots,\underbrace{f_\ell,\ldots,f_\ell}_{P(N)},\underbrace{f_\ell^{-1},\ldots,f_\ell^{-1}}_{P(N)},g,$$

where  $g_i, g$  are as described above,  $f_i$  are iterated commutators of  $g_i$ , and P(N) is a polynomial in N.

#### What's next



► **SSP**(Solvable),

▶ **SSP**(Grigorchuk's).

#### What's next

► SSP(Metabelian),

► SSP(Solvable),

▶ **SSP**(Grigorchuk's).

#### What's next

► SSP(Metabelian),

► SSP(Solvable),

▶ **SSP**(Grigorchuk's).